

Now if $|G| = p^n$, then note that since

$$|G| = |G:C(x)| \cdot |C(x)|,$$

the terms $|G:C(x)|$ must all be divisible by p . So we have; from the class equation:

$$p^n = |C(G)| + \text{divisible by } p$$

$\Rightarrow |C(G)|$ is divisible by p , so it's nontrivial.

So now when we take $G/C(G)$, we get another p -group (again its order is p^m for some m). If $m > 0$ then its centre is nontrivial again, giving a ~~nontrivial~~ ^{proper} $C_2(G) \subset G$. Then $G/C_2(G)$ is also a p -group.

if it's trivial, stop, because that means $G = C_2(G)$ is nilpotent. Otherwise $C(G/C_2(G))$ is nontrivial, and we get a proper $C_3(G) \subset G \dots$

because G is finite, this process must terminate, so eventually $C_k(G) = G$ and G is nilpotent.

Theorem: If G and H are nilpotent then so is $G \times H$.

Proof: First we note that

$$C(G \times H) = C(G) \times C(H) \quad (\text{this is an easy check}).$$

We want to show by induction that

$C_i(G \times H) = C_i(G) \times C_i(H)$, so with the base case done we assume $C_{i-1}(G \times H) = C_{i-1}(G) \times C_{i-1}(H)$ and proceed as follows:

First we check that the quotient map $G \times H \rightarrow G \times H / \underbrace{C_{i-1}(G \times H)}_N$

is the composition:

$$\begin{aligned}
 G \times H &\xrightarrow{\pi = (\pi_G, \pi_H)} G / C_{i-1}(G) \times H / C_{i-1}(H) \xrightarrow{\psi} \frac{G \times H}{\underbrace{C_{i-1}(G) \times C_{i-1}(H)}_N} \\
 (g, h) &\longmapsto (g C_{i-1}(G), h C_{i-1}(H)) \longmapsto ((g, h) N) \\
 &= \frac{G \times H}{\underbrace{C_{i-1}(G \times H)}_N}
 \end{aligned}$$

So then

$$\begin{aligned}
 C_i(G \times H) &= \pi^{-1} \psi^{-1} \left(C \left(\frac{G \times H}{C_{i-1}(G \times H)} \right) \right) \\
 &= \pi^{-1} \left(C \left(G / C_{i-1}(G) \times H / C_{i-1}(H) \right) \right) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{check that } \psi^{-1} \\ \text{works this way} \end{array} \right. \\
 &= \pi^{-1} \left(C \left(G / C_{i-1}(G) \right) \times C \left(H / C_{i-1}(H) \right) \right) \\
 &= \pi_G^{-1} \left(C \left(G / C_{i-1}(G) \right) \right) \times \pi_H^{-1} \left(C \left(H / C_{i-1}(H) \right) \right) \\
 &= C_i(G) \times C_i(H).
 \end{aligned}$$

So, by induction our claim holds. Now choose n

38
large enough that $C_n(G) = G$ and $C_n(H) = H$. Then
 $C_n(G \times H) = C_n(G) \times C_n(H) = G \times H$, so $G \times H$ is
nilpotent. \square

Surprisingly, we can characterize finite nilpotent groups in terms of their Sylow subgroups. Using the previous theorem is key.

Theorem: A finite group is nilpotent if and only if it is isomorphic to the direct product of its Sylow subgroups.

For this proof, we need a lemma:

Lemma: Suppose G is nilpotent and $H \leq G$ is a proper subgroup. The normalizer of H in G is

$$N_G(H) = \{x \in G \mid xNx^{-1} = N\}$$

because it is "the largest subgroup K of G such that H is normal in K ". Obviously $H \leq N_G(H)$.

Then H is a proper subgroup of $N_G(H)$.

Proof of Lemma:

Begin with $C_0(G) = \{e\}$, then $C_1(G) = C(G), \dots$ etc. Let n be the largest integer such that $C_n(G) \leq H$, such an n exists since H is a proper subgroup and $\exists n$ st. $C_n(G) = G$ by nilpotency.

Now choose $a \in C_{n+1}(G) \setminus H$. Now since $a \in C_{n+1}(G)$, $aC_n(G)$ is in the centre of $G/C_n(G)$.

Writing C_n in place of $C_n(G)$, we then have

for all $h \in H$:

$$haC_n = (hC_n)(aC_n) = (aC_n)(hC_n) = ahC_n. \text{ Thus}$$

$ha = ah'h'$ for some $h' \in C_n \subseteq H$. Thus $a^{-1}ha = hh'$ for every $h \in H$, meaning $a^{-1}Ha = H$. Thus $a \in N_G(H)$ but $a \notin H$, so $H < N_G(H)$ is proper.

Proof of Theorem:

First note that if G is a direct product of its Sylow subgroups, then it is nilpotent. This is because for every prime p with $p^n \mid |G|$, the Sylow p -subgroup is of order p^n , hence nilpotent, and therefore the product of subgroups is also nilpotent.

Now we prove the converse. Suppose G is nilpotent and finite, and $P < G$ is a Sylow p -subgroup of G . If $P = G$ we're done. If $P < G$ is proper, then P is also a proper subgroup of $N_G(P)$, by our lemma. For Sylow p -subgroups it is not hard to check that ~~$N_G(N_G(P)) = N_G(P)$~~

$N_G(N_G(P)) = N_G(P)$, which by our lemma actually forces $N_G(P) = G$. Thus P is normal in G , and is therefore the unique Sylow p -subgroup of G .

for this particular prime p .

So, suppose $|G| = p_1^{n_1} \cdots p_k^{n_k}$ and for each p_i let P_i be the corresponding unique Sylow p_i -subgroup with $|P_i| = p_i^{n_i}$. Then $P_i \cap P_j = \{e\}$ for all i, j with $i \neq j$ since $|P_i|$ and $|P_j|$ have no common factors. Thus $\forall x \in P_i$ and $y \in P_j$, $xy = yx$.

Therefore if we consider an element of $P_1 P_2 \cdots P_{i-1} P_{i+1} \cdots P_k$, we see that its order must divide $p_1^{n_1} \cdots p_{i-1}^{n_{i-1}} p_{i+1}^{n_{i+1}} \cdots p_k^{n_k}$.

(Since $a_1 \cdots a_{i-1} a_{i+1} \cdots a_k \in P_1 \cdots P_{i-1} P_{i+1} \cdots P_k$ implies

$(a_1 \cdots a_{i-1} a_{i+1} \cdots a_k)^l = a_1^l \cdots a_{i-1}^l a_{i+1}^l \cdots a_k^l$, so if this were the identity then l would divide $p_j^{n_j}$, for $j \neq i$).

Therefore $P_i \cap (P_1 \cdots P_{i-1} P_{i+1} \cdots P_k) = \{e\}$ since their orders share no common factor and

$P_1 P_2 \cdots P_k = P_1 \times \cdots \times P_k$ since every element is uniquely written as $\prod_{i=1}^k a_i^{m_i}$ for some $a_i \in P_i$ and $m_i | p_i^{n_i}$.

Now since $|G| = p_1^{n_1} \cdots p_k^{n_k} = |P_1 \times \cdots \times P_k|$
 $= |P_1 \cdots P_k|$ and

$P_1 \cdots P_k \subset G$, we must have

$$G = P_1 \cdots P_k \cong P_1 \times \cdots \times P_k.$$

We can produce a new sequence of normal subgroups of a group G , allowing for another useful decomposition of G as follows.

Definition: If $a, b \in G$, the element $aba^{-1}b^{-1}$ is called a commutator and is denoted $[a, b]$. The subgroup of G generated by $\{[a, b] \mid a, b \in G\}$ is denoted G' and is called the commutator subgroup.

Remarks:

- $[a, b] \in G$ can be thought of as "how far a, b are from commuting"
- $G' = \{e\}$ if and only if G is abelian, so G' can be thought of as a measure of how far G is from being abelian.

Theorem: Let G be a group. Then $G' \triangleleft G$ and G/G' is abelian. Moreover, if $N \triangleleft G$ is any other normal subgroup, then G/N is abelian if and only if $N \supseteq G'$.

Proof: First, we prove G' is normal. To see this, let

$$S = \{aba^{-1}b^{-1} \mid a, b \in G\}$$

denote the generating set of G' .

Now given $g \in G$, consider gSg^{-1} :

$$gSg^{-1} = \{gab\bar{a}'\bar{b}'g^{-1} \mid a, b \in G\}$$

$$= \{(gag^{-1})(gbg^{-1})(gag^{-1})^{-1}(gbg^{-1})^{-1} \mid a, b \in G\}$$

$$= \{a\bar{b}'\bar{a}'b' \mid a, b \in G\} \leftarrow \begin{array}{l} \text{since } a \mapsto gag^{-1} \text{ is} \\ \text{a bijection } G \rightarrow G \end{array}$$

$$= S.$$

Thus since $G' = \langle S \rangle$ and $gSg^{-1} = S$ we know that $gG'g^{-1} = \langle gSg^{-1} \rangle = \langle S \rangle = G'$, so G' is normal.

To see that G/G' is abelian, let $aG', bG' \in G/G'$ be given. Then $ab\bar{a}'\bar{b}'G' = G' \Rightarrow (abG')(a'\bar{b}'G') = G'$, so

$$(aG')(bG')(a'G')(b'G') = G'$$

$$\Rightarrow (aG')(bG') = (bG')(aG').$$

Last, let $N \subset G$ be normal and suppose G/N is abelian. Then $abN = baN$ for all $a, b \in G$

$\Rightarrow ab\bar{a}'\bar{b}'N = N$, so $ab\bar{a}'\bar{b}' \in N \forall a, b \in G$,
so $S \subset N$ and thus $G' = \langle S \rangle \subset N$. On the other hand if $G' \subset N$ it is easy to see that G/N is abelian, because there is an onto homomorphism:

$$\frac{G}{G'} \longrightarrow \frac{G}{N}$$

Definition: Given a group G , set $G^{(1)} = G'$, and in general for $i \geq 2$ set $G^{(i)} = (G^{(i-1)})'$. The group $G^{(i)}$ is the i^{th} derived subgroup of G and the sequence of subgroups $G \geq G^{(1)} \geq G^{(2)} \geq \dots$ is called the derived series of G .

Definition: If $\exists n$ such that $G^{(n)} = \{id\}$ then G is called solvable.

Proposition: Nilpotent groups are solvable.

Proof: By definition of $C_i(G)$, we can compute:

The quotient homomorphism $q_i: G \rightarrow G/C_{i-1}(G)$ gives, upon restriction to $C_i(G)$ an onto homomorphism

$h: C_i(G) \rightarrow C\left(\frac{G}{C_{i-1}(G)}\right)$. The kernel of h is

exactly $C_{i-1}(G)$ because h is the restriction of the quotient q_i , so the first isomorphism theorem gives

$C_i(G)/C_{i-1}(G) \cong C\left(\frac{G}{C_{i-1}(G)}\right)$, which is abelian.

Thus $C_i(G)' \subset C_{i-1}(G)$ for all $i > 1$, and $C_1(G)' = C(G)' = \{e\}$,

Since $C(G)$ is abelian.

Now because G is nilpotent, $\exists n$ such that $G = C_n(G)$.

Thus $C\left(\frac{G}{C_{n-1}(G)}\right) = \frac{C_n(G)}{C_{n-1}(G)} = \frac{G}{C_{n-1}(G)}$ and we

conclude that $G/C_{n-1}(G)$ is abelian, therefore

$G' \subset C_{n-1}(G)$. Then we find

$G^{(2)} = (G^{(1)})' \subset C_{n-1}(G)' \subset C_{n-2}(G)$, and therefore

$G^{(3)} = (G^{(2)})' \subset C_{n-2}(G)' \subset C_{n-3}(G)$, etc, and in

the end we get $G^{(n)} \subset C_{n-n}(G) = C_0(G) = \{e\}$,

so that G is solvable.

As with nilpotent groups, solvable groups behave well with respect to quotients and subgroups.

Theorem: Suppose G is a solvable group.

- (i) Every subgroup of G is solvable.
- (ii) Every quotient of G is solvable.
- (iii) If N is a normal subgroup of G and both N and G/N are solvable, then so is G .

Proofs: (i) Suppose $f: G \rightarrow H$ is an onto homomorphism. Then it is not hard to verify that $f(G^{(i)}) = H^{(i)}$, since every homomorphism maps commutators to commutators:

$$f(aba^{-1}b^{-1}) = f(a)f(b)f(a)^{-1}f(b)^{-1}.$$

Thus if $G^{(n)} = \{id\}$ then $H^{(n)} = f(\{id\}) = \{id\}$, so H is solvable. The proof of (ii) is similar.

To prove (iii), note that if G/N is solvable then the quotient $q: G \rightarrow G/N$ gives

$$q(G^{(n)}) = (G/N)^{(n)} = \{id\} \text{ for some } n \geq 1,$$

meaning $G^{(n)} \subseteq \ker q = N$. But N is assumed solvable, so $G^{(n)} \subseteq N$ implies $G^{(n)}$ is solvable. Thus $(G^{(n)})^{(k)} = G^{(n+k)}$ is the identity for some k . Thus G is solvable.

Example: The alternating groups $A_n \subset S_n$ for $n \geq 5$ are simple. They're also nonabelian, so A_n for $n \geq 5$ is not solvable.

$\Rightarrow S_n$ is not solvable for $n \geq 5$.

Solvability is a powerful restriction on groups. It allows for (for example) the strengthening of many existing structure theorems if we restrict our attention to solvable groups only. Here is a strengthening of Sylow's theorems:

Theorem (Hall). Let G be a solvable group of order mn , with $\gcd(m, n) = 1$. Then:

- (i) G contains a subgroup of order m
- (ii) Any two subgroups of G of order m are conjugate
- (iii) Any subgroup of G of order k where $k | m$ is contained in a subgroup of order m .

We will not prove this generalization, but simply mention it to highlight the strength of the solvability condition.

§2.8 Normal and Subnormal series.

Our goal here is to repeat a portion of material from Algebra 2 in a more general setting, culminating in a proof of the Jordan-Hölder theorem.

In Algebra 2, you saw this theorem in the special case of finite groups — where the proof is much simpler. The core ideas here are significantly harder (and carry the names of famous mathematicians as a result).

Definition: A subnormal series of a group G is a chain of subgroups

$$G = G_0 > G_1 > \dots > G_n$$

such that G_{k+1} is normal in G_k for all $k = 0, \dots, n-1$.

The factors of the series are the quotients G_k/G_{k+1} , and the length of the series is the number of nontrivial factors. A subnormal series in which each G_i is additionally normal in G is called normal.

Examples: The derived series

$$G > G^{(1)} > \dots > G^{(n)}$$

is a normal series (fact that needs checking: $G^{(i)} \triangleleft G^{(i-1)}$)

The ascending central series

$$G \cong C_n(G) > C_{n-1}(G) > \dots > C_1(G)$$

is a normal series if G is nilpotent. If G is not

nilpotent then it can fail to be even a subnormal series.

Definition Let $G = G_0 > G_1 > \dots > G_n$ be a subnormal series. A one-step-refinement of this series is

$$G = G_0 > G_1 > \dots > G_i > N > G_{i+1} > \dots > G_n$$

where $N \triangleleft G_i$ and $G_{i+1} \triangleleft N$, or

$$G = G_0 > G_1 > \dots > G_n > N$$

where $N \triangleleft G_n$.

A refinement of a subnormal series S is any subnormal series obtained from S by a finite number of one-step refinements. A refinement is proper if its length is greater than the length of the initial series.

Definition: A subnormal series $G = G_0 > \dots > G_n = \langle id \rangle$ is a composition series if each factor G_i/G_{i+1} is simple. A subnormal series $G = G_0 > \dots > G_n = \langle id \rangle$ is a solvable series if each factor G_i/G_{i+1} is abelian.