

MATH 2080

We are now in a position to attack one of the most significant results in a first analysis course: The intermediate value theorem. We begin with a special case:

Theorem: (Bolzano's Theorem).

Suppose $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and that $f(a)$ and $f(b)$ have opposite signs. Then there exists $z \in (a, b)$ with $f(z) = 0$.

Proof: We'll do the case $f(a) < 0$ and $f(b) > 0$, since the other case is very similar.

Now set $a_1 = \frac{a+b}{2}$.

If $f(a_1) = 0$ then $a_1 = z$ proves the theorem. If $f(a_1) > 0$, set $I_1 = (a, b_1)$ and if $f(a_1) < 0$ set $I_1 = (a_1, b)$. $\stackrel{\text{"}}{a_1}$ (ie rename $a_1 = b_1$)

So if $I_0 = (a, b)$ then $I_1 \subset I_0$ and I_1 is half the length of I_0 . Repeat this procedure to

create a sequence of intervals $I_n = (a_n, b_n)$ with:

(i) $I_{n+1} \subset I_n$ for all n

(ii) $b_n - a_n = \frac{1}{2^n} (b - a)$

(iii) $f(a_n) < 0 < f(b_n)$.

Define a sequence $\{c_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ by $c_{2n} = a_n$ and $c_{2n+1} = b_n$.

By (ii) above, the sequence $\{c_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is Cauchy and so converges to some $c \in [a, b]$. Since $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ are subsequences of $\{c_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = c.$$

Then by continuity of f ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(a_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(b_n) = f(c)$$

But $f(a_n) < 0$ and $f(b_n) > 0$ for all n .

Thus $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(a_n) \leq 0$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(b_n) \geq 0$, so

$$0 \leq f(c) \leq 0 \Rightarrow f(c) = 0$$

Remark: Dissecting the proof above, we ask: Why would this theorem only hold for functions $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and not more general functions $f: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$? Well, the argument would fail if there were any point between a and b that were not in the domain of f . So we need this property to ensure the proof works.

Definition: A set $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ is connected if whenever a and b are in A , and $a < c < b$, then $c \in A$.

This is certainly the correct definition to make, but what kinds of sets are captured by this condition?

Theorem: Let A be a connected subset of \mathbb{R} . Then A is one of the following:

- (i) $\{x \mid x < a\}$, $\{x \mid x > a\}$, $\{x \mid x \leq a\}$, $\{x \mid x \geq a\}$
- (ii) (a, b) , $(a, b]$, $[a, b)$ or $[a, b]$
- (iii) \mathbb{R} .

Proof: Each of the sets listed above is connected.

We need to show that any connected set $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ falls into one of these categories.

So let A be a connected set. If $A = \emptyset$, then $A = (a, a)$ for any $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and so is in category (ii). So suppose A is nonempty.

If A is not bounded above or below, then $A = \mathbb{R}$ and so we're in (iii). If A is bounded from above but not below, then set $a = \sup A$. If $a \in A$ then we can argue $A = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid x \leq a\}$ while if $a \notin A$ then $A = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid x < a\}$. On the other hand if A is bounded below but not above, then $a = \inf A$ gives the possibilities

$$A = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid x \geq a\} \text{ or } A = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid x > a\}.$$

Last, if A is bounded both above and below set $a = \inf A$ and $b = \sup A$. Then a careful case argument gives $A = [a, b]$ or (a, b) or $[a, b)$ or $(a, b]$.

Theorem: Suppose $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous with A connected. Then if $a < b$ with $a, b \in A$ and $f(a), f(b)$ having opposite signs, there exists $c \in (a, b)$ with $f(c) = 0$.

Proof: Same proof as before.

From this, we can easily prove the Intermediate Value Theorem.

Theorem: Suppose $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and A is connected. Suppose also that $a < b$ with $a, b \in A$ and that $y \in \mathbb{R}$ is between $f(a)$ and $f(b)$. Then there exists $c \in (a, b)$ with $f(c) = y$.

Proof: Define $g: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $g(x) = f(x) - y$. Then g is continuous, and since y is between $f(a)$ and $f(b)$, the numbers $g(a)$ and $g(b)$ have opposite signs. Thus by Bolzano's Theorem there is $c \in (a, b)$ with $g(c) = 0$, i.e. $f(c) - y = 0$ so $f(c) = y$.

Consequences: Every continuous function maps intervals to intervals.

Theorem: Suppose $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous. Then there exist c, d such that $f([a, b]) = [c, d]$.

Proof: Since f attains a max and min on $[a, b]$, there exist $x_1, x_2 \in [a, b]$ such that $f(x_1) \leq f(x) \leq f(x_2)$ for all $x \in [a, b]$. Let $c = f(x_1)$ and $d = f(x_2)$. By the Intermediate value theorem, given any $y \in [c, d]$ there exists $x \in [a, b]$ such that $f(x) = y$. Thus $f([a, b]) = [c, d]$.

§3.4

As a final consequence of compactness, we have:

Theorem: Let $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous and one-to-one, and suppose A is connected. Then f is monotone.

Proof: Suppose f is 1-1 and continuous but not monotone. Then either

(i) There exist $x, y, z \in A$ with $x < y < z$ and $f(x) < f(y)$ and $f(z) < f(y)$

or

(ii) There exist $x, y, z \in A$ with $x < y < z$ and $f(x) > f(y)$ and $f(z) > f(y)$.

We will deal only with case (ii). In that case, suppose $f(y) < f(z) < f(x)$. Then by the intermediate value theorem, there is c in $[x, y]$ such that $f(c) = f(z)$. But $x < y < z$ means $c \neq z$, so $f(c) = f(z)$ contradicts f being one-to-one. Similarly if $f(y) < f(x) < f(z)$, then the intermediate value theorem says there is a c with $c \in [y, z]$ and $f(c) = f(x)$. But $x < y < z$ means $c \neq x$, so this again contradicts f being 1-1.

Case (i) is proved similarly.

Chapter 4 Differentiation.

We define the derivative as usual:

Definition: If $f: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and x_0 is an accumulation point of D , ~~then and~~ $x_0 \in D$. If

$$f'(x_0) = \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \frac{f(x) - f(x_0)}{x - x_0}$$

exists then f is said to be differentiable at x_0 (and its derivative is $f'(x_0)$).

Recall now that if f is differentiable at x_0 , then f is continuous at x_0 :

Theorem: If $f: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable at x_0 (meaning $x_0 \in D$) and x_0 is an accpt of D) then f is continuous at x_0 .

Proof: We have, for any $x \in D$:

$$f(x) - f(x_0) = \frac{f(x) - f(x_0)}{x - x_0} \cdot (x - x_0)$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) - f(x_0) = \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \frac{f(x) - f(x_0)}{x - x_0} \cdot (x - x_0)$$

Assuming $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \frac{f(x) - f(x_0)}{x - x_0} = f'(x_0)$ exists, this gives:

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) - \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x_0) = f'(x_0) \cdot 0 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) = f(x_0), \text{ so } f \text{ is continuous.}$$

All the usual rules of derivatives (sum, product, chain rule, etc) follow as in MATH 1500.

§ 4.3 Rolle's Theorem and the Mean Value Theorem.

Calculus is supposed to be used for finding maxes/mins, solving real-world problems (sometimes). So let's touch on that to end the course.

Definition: Let $f: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. A point $x_0 \in D$ is a relative maximum (minimum) of $f(x)$ if and only if there is a nbhd Q of x_0 such that if $x \in Q \cap D$, then $f(x) \leq f(x_0)$.

Theorem: Suppose $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and suppose that f has either a relative maximum or a relative minimum at $x_0 \in (a, b)$. If $f(x)$ is differentiable at x_0 , then $f'(x_0) = 0$.

Proof: Assume f has a relative maximum at $x_0 \in (a, b)$.

Then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all x in $(x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta)$ we have $f(x) \leq f(x_0)$. Consider any sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converging to x_0 with $x_0 - \delta < x_n < x_0$ for all n . Then since f is differentiable at x_0 ,

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \frac{f(x) - f(x_0)}{x - x_0}$$

exists, meaning $\left\{ \frac{f(x_n) - f(x_0)}{x_n - x_0} \right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges (to $f'(x_0)$). But $f(x_n) \leq f(x_0)$ and $x_n \leq x_0$ for all n , so $\frac{f(x_n) - f(x_0)}{x_n - x_0} \geq 0$ for all n .

So $f'(x_0) \geq 0$. On the other hand if $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence converging to x_0 with $x_0 < y_n < x_0 + \delta$ then $\left\{ \frac{f(y_n) - f(x_0)}{y_n - x_0} \right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ also converges to $f'(x_0)$, but $f(y_n) - f(x_0) \leq 0$ for all n . Thus $f'(x_0) \leq 0$.

In conclusion, $f'(x_0) = 0$. 1

Thus we can prove

Rolle's Theorem : If $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous on $[a, b]$ and f is differentiable on (a, b) then $f(a) = f(b) = 0$ implies that there exists $c \in (a, b)$ with $f'(c) = 0$.

Proof: If $f(x) = 0$ for all $x \in [a, b]$, then done.

Otherwise suppose $f(x) \neq 0$ for some $x \in [a, b]$. Then f attains a max and a min on $[a, b]$ since $[a, b]$ is compact. Say $f(x_1)$ is the max and $f(x_2)$ is the min. Then at least one of $f(x_1)$ or $f(x_2)$ is nonzero, and thus at least one of x_1 or x_2 is not equal to a or b . By the previous theorem, f' is zero there.