

## Chapter 6 Cosets and Lagrange's Theorem.

### Cosets.

Suppose that  $G$  is a group and  $H$  is a subgroup of  $G$ .

Definition: The left coset of  $H$  with representative  $g$  is the set

$$gH = \{gh \mid h \in H\}.$$

The right coset of  $H$  with representative  $g$  is

$$Hg = \{hg \mid h \in H\}.$$

Example: Consider the group  $S_3$  of permutations of  $\{1, 2, 3\}$ . Using cycle notation, we can list all elements:

$$S_3 = \{\text{id}, (1, 2), (2, 3), (1, 3), (1, 2, 3), (1, 3, 2)\}.$$

Consider the cyclic subgroup of  $S^3$  generated by  $(1, 2, 3)$ . It is

$$\{\text{id}, (1, 2, 3), (1, 3, 2)\} = \langle (1, 2, 3) \rangle = H.$$

The left cosets of  $H$  are:

$$(1,2)H = \{(1,2), (1,2)(1,2,3), (1,2)(1,3,2)\}$$

$$= \{(1,2), (2,3), (1,3)\}$$

In fact, we find the same for other cosets:

$$(2,3)H = \{(2,3), (2,3)(1,2,3), (2,3)(1,3,2)\}$$

$$= \{(2,3), (1,3), (1,2)\}$$

Same for  $(1,3)H$ . Note that whenever  $g \in H$ ,  $gH = H$ .  
 So for all other elements of  $S_3$ , the corresponding left coset is  $H$ . One finds that the right cosets are the same:

$$Hg = H \text{ if } g \in H, \text{ and}$$

$$Hg = \{(1,2), (2,3), (1,3)\} \text{ if } g \notin H.$$

This is not always the case. Consider the subgroup

Example:  $\{\text{id}, (1,2)\} \subset S_3$ , call it  $K$ .

Its left cosets are:

$$(2,3)K = (1,3,2)K = \{(2,3), (1,3,2)\}$$

$$(1,3)K = (1,2,3)K = \{(1,3), (1,2,3)\}$$

$$\text{id}K = (1,2)K = \{\text{id}, (1,2)\}$$

and the right cosets are

$$K(2,3) = K(123) = \{(2,3), (1,2,3)\}$$

$$K(1,3) = K(1,3,2) = \{(1,3), (1,3,2)\}$$

$$K(1,2) = K_{id} = \{id, (1,2)\}$$

So left and right cosets are different.

Observation: If  $G$  is abelian, then

$$gH = \{gh \mid h \in H\} = \{hg \mid h \in H\} = Hg,$$

so left and right cosets agree.

When are two cosets equal?

Lemma (6.3 in text) If  $g_1, g_2 \in G$  and  $H$  is a subgroup, then the following are either all true or all false:

(i)  $g_1 H = g_2 H$

(ii)  $Hg_1^{-1} = Hg_2^{-1}$

(iii)  $g_1 H \subseteq g_2 H$

(iv)  $g_1 \in g_2 H$

(v)  $g_1^{-1} g_2 \in H$ .

Proof: Mostly left as an exercise. But we can do some examples:

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv).

If  $g_1H = g_2H$ , then  $g_1 \cdot id = g_1 \in g_1H = g_2H$ . So (iv) holds.

(iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). If  $g_1 \in g_2H$  then  $g_1 = g_2h_0$  for some  $h_0 \in H$ .

Now let  $g_2h \in g_2H$  be given. Then

$$g_2h = (g_2h_0)(\underbrace{h_0^{-1}h}_H) = g_1(\text{element of } H) \in g_1H.$$

So  $g_2H \subseteq g_1H$ . Conversely since  $g_1h_0^{-1} = g_2$  we can argue:

Given  $g_1h \in g_1H$ , then

$$g_1h = g_1h_0^{-1}(\underbrace{h_0h}_H) = g_2(\text{element of } H) \in g_2H,$$

so  $g_1H \subseteq g_2H$  and  $\overset{H}{g_1H} = g_2H$ .

== other cases left as exercises. ==

Theorem: Let  $H \subseteq G$  be a subgroup. Then the left cosets of  $H$  partition  $G$ .

Proof: Let  $g_1H$  and  $g_2H$  be two left cosets. We must show that either  $g_1H \cap g_2H = \emptyset$  or  $g_1H = g_2H$ .

Suppose  $g_1H \cap g_2H \neq \emptyset$ , and choose  $a \in g_1H \cap g_2H$ .

Then  $\exists h_1, h_2 \in H$  so that  $g_1h_1 = a = g_2h_2$ .

But then  $g_1h_1 = g_2h_2 \Rightarrow g_1 = g_2h_2h_1^{-1} \Rightarrow g_1 \in g_2H$ .

Therefore  $g_1 H = g_2 H$  by the previous lemma.

Last, observe that  $\bigcup_{g \in G} gH = G$ , since  $g \in gH$  for all  $g \in G$ .

Remark: Right cosets behave the same way as the previous lemma and theorem, i.e. they also partition  $G$ .

Definition: Let  $G$  be a group and  $H$  a subgroup of  $G$ . The number of left cosets of  $H$  in  $G$  will be denoted  $[G:H]$ , it is called the index of  $H$  in  $G$ .

Example: If  $G = S_3$  and  $H = \langle (1,2) \rangle$  then

$[G:H] = 3$ . If  $G = S_3$  and  $H = \langle (1,2,3) \rangle$  then

$[G:H] = 2$ .

Theorem: Let  $G$  be a group, and  $H$  a subgroup. Then the number of left cosets of  $H$  in  $G$  is the same as the number of right cosets of  $H$  in  $G$ .

Proof: Give names to the two collections of cosets,  $L_H =$  left cosets,  $R_H =$  right cosets.

Define  $\phi: L_H \rightarrow R_H$  as follows:

$$\phi(gH) = Hg^{-1}.$$

This map is well-defined, in the sense that if  $gH = g'H$  then  $\phi(gH) = Hg^{-1}$  and  $\phi(g'H) = H(g')^{-1}$  are equal, by point (ii) of the previous lemma.

It is one-to-one since

$$Hg_1^{-1} = Hg_2^{-1} \Rightarrow g_1H = g_2H \quad (\Rightarrow \text{By lemma, part (ii).})$$
$$\phi(g_1H) = \phi(g_2H)$$

and it is surjective since  $\phi(g^{-1}H) = Hg$  for all  $g \in G$ .

Proposition: Let  $H$  be a subgroup of  $G$  and let  $g \in G$  be any element. Define a map  $\phi: H \rightarrow gH$  by  $\phi(h) = gh$ . Then  $\phi$  is bijective, so  $H$  and  $gH$  have the same number of elements for all  $g \in G$ .

Proof. First,  $\phi$  is 1-to-1 since

$$\phi(h_1) = \phi(h_2) \Rightarrow gh_1 = gh_2 \Rightarrow h_1 = h_2.$$

Second,  $\phi$  is surjective since every element of  $gH$  is of the form  $gh$  for some  $h \in H$ , and thus  $\phi(h) = gh$  maps onto it.

Theorem: (Lagrange). Suppose  $G$  is finite and  $H \subseteq G$  is a subgroup. Then  $|G| = |H| \cdot [G:H]$ .  
In particular,  $|H|$  must divide  $|G|$ .

Proof: The group  $G$  is partitioned into  $[G:H]$  left (or right) cosets of  $H$ , each having  $|H|$  elements.

Corollary: Suppose that  $G$  is a finite group. Then the order of any  $g \in G$  must divide the order  $|G|$ .

Proof: The order of  $g \in G$  is the size of the cyclic subgroup  $\langle g \rangle$ , which must divide  $|G|$  by Lagrange's theorem.

Corollary: If  $|G| = p$ ,  $p$  a prime, then  $G$  is cyclic and every element is a generator.

Proof: Since  $p$  is prime, by Lagrange's theorem the only subgroups can be of size 1 and  $p$ . Let  $g \in G$  be any nonidentity element. Then  $|\langle g \rangle| > 1$  since  $\text{id}, g \in \langle g \rangle$ . Thus  $|\langle g \rangle| = p$ , forcing  $G = \langle g \rangle$ .

Remark: So groups of prime order are basically  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ ??

(i) First note that every 3-cycle in  $S_4$  is actually contained in  $A_4$ , since

$$(a, b, c) = (cb)(ac).$$

There are 8 3-cycles in  $S_4$ , so 8 3-cycles in  $A_4$ .

(ii) If  $H \subset A_4$  and  $|H| = 6$ ,  $H$  must therefore contain at least one 3-cycle.

(iii) Since  $[A_4 : H] = 2$ , left and right cosets of  $H$  are equal, meaning  $gH = Hg \Rightarrow gHg^{-1} = H$  for all  $g \in A_4$ . So  $ghg^{-1} \in H$  for all  $h \in H$  and  $g \in A_4$ .

Thus we can choose a 3-cycle, WLOG say  $(1, 2, 3) \in H$ . Then  $g(1, 2, 3)g^{-1} \in H$  and  $g(1, 2, 3)^{-1}g^{-1} \in H$  for all  $g \in A_4$ .

So  $H$  contains id, (1, 2, 3), (1, 3, 2), also

$$(1, 2, 4)(1, 2, 3)(1, 2, 4)^{-1} = \underline{(2, 4, 3)} \text{ and } \underline{(2, 4, 3)}^{-1}$$

$$(2, 4, 3)(1, 2, 3)(2, 4, 3)^{-1} = \underline{(1, 4, 2)} \text{ and } \underline{(1, 4, 2)}^{-1}.$$

So  $H$  now contains 7 elements! (underlined above).

Thus  $|H| = 12$ .



Corollary: Suppose that  $H$  and  $K$  are both subgroups of  $G$ , and  $K \subset H \subset G$ . Then

$$[G:K] = \underbrace{[G:H][H:K]}_{\text{multiplication of numbers}}$$

Proof: Using the previous theorem,

$$[G:K] = \frac{|G|}{|K|} = \frac{|G|}{|H|} \cdot \frac{|H|}{|K|} = [G:H][H:K].$$

---

The converse of Lagrange's theorem is not true. That is, if  $|G| = n$  and  $m$  is a divisor of  $n$ , there doesn't have to be a subgroup of size  $m$  (this is why problem 3 on the exam is remarkable: The converse of Lagrange's theorem is true for cyclic groups).

Example:  $|A_4| = \frac{4!}{2} = 12$ , so  $A_4$  can have subgroups of size 1, 2, 3, 4 and 6. However there's no subgroup of size 6. Here is why:

The calculations in the last example used a special case of the following theorem.

Theorem: Two cycles  $\tau, \mu \in S_n$  ~~are~~ have the same length if and only if there exists  $\sigma \in S_n$  such that  $\mu = \sigma \tau \sigma^{-1}$ .

Proof: If  $\tau = (a_1, \dots, a_k)$  and  $\mu = (b_1, \dots, b_k)$ , then set  $\sigma(a_i) = b_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . Then we check that  $\mu = \sigma \tau \sigma^{-1}$ , since, for example,

$$\mu(b_i) = b_{(i+1) \bmod k}, \text{ while}$$

$$\sigma \tau \sigma^{-1}(b_i) = \sigma \tau(a_i) = \sigma(a_{(i+1) \bmod k}) = b_{(i+1) \bmod k}.$$

On the other hand, if  $\sigma$  satisfies  $\mu = \sigma \tau \sigma^{-1}$  for some cycles  $\mu, \tau$ , then  $\mu$  &  $\tau$  have the same length. Here's why: If  $\tau = (a_1, \dots, a_k)$  then set  $\sigma(a_i) = b_i$ . We calculate

$$\sigma \tau \sigma^{-1}(b_i) = \sigma \tau(a_i) = \sigma(a_{(i+1) \bmod k}) = b_{(i+1) \bmod k},$$

so  $\mu = \sigma \tau \sigma^{-1} = (b_1, \dots, b_k)$ , a cycle the same length as  $\tau$ .

---

We'll need this result later.

Two significant results from number theory are actually special applications of Lagrange's Theorem.

First, define  $\phi: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  as follows. Set  $\phi(1) = 1$ , and set (for  $n > 1$ )

$$\phi(n) = |U(n)| \text{ (size of the group of units)}$$

$$= \text{number of } m \text{ with } 1 \leq m < n \text{ and } \gcd(m, n) = 1.$$

(this equality is because we get  $U(n)$  from  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  by discarding elements whose gcd with  $n$  is  $> 1$ ).

E.g.  $\phi(8) = 4$  since  $U(8) = \{1, 3, 5, 7\}$ .

Theorem: These two definitions of  $\phi(n)$ :

(i)  $\phi(n) = |U(n)|$

(ii)  $\phi(n) = \#$  of  $m$  with  $1 \leq m < n$  and  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$

are equivalent.

Proof: Follows from previous material.

Theorem: (Euler's Theorem)

Let  $a, n$  be integers with  $n > 0$  and  $\gcd(a, n) = 1$ . Then  $a^{\phi(n)} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ .

Proof: Consider the element  $a \in U(n)$ . Since  $U(n)$  is a group of order  $\phi(n)$ , every element in  $U(n)$  satisfies  $a^{\phi(n)} = \text{identity}$ . In  $U(n)$  the identity is

1, so this gives  $a^{\phi(n)} = 1$  (in  $U(n)$ ). In modular arithmetic notation,  $a^{\phi(n)} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ .

Theorem (Fermat's Little-theorem).

If  $p$  is prime and  $p$  does not divide  $a$ , then

$$a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}, \text{ further } b^p \equiv b \pmod{p} \forall b.$$

Proof: If  $p$  is prime, then  $\phi(p) = p-1$ . So in this case, Euler's theorem gives  $a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ . We need that  $a$  does not divide  $p$  to get  $\gcd(a, n) = 1$ .

---

This is the backbone of modern RSA cryptography.

Here is a quick explanation of how it works:

We prepare for someone to send us a message as follows:  
Choose enormous prime numbers  $p$  and  $q$ . From these numbers, calculate:

$$n = pq \text{ (just multiply them)}$$

$$\phi(n) = m = (p-1)(q-1) \text{ (just multiply).}$$

Choose  $E$  with  $\gcd(E, n) = 1$ , and use the Euclidean algorithm to calculate  $D$  with  $DE \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ .

ie. write  $DE = 1 + km$  for some  $k$

or  $-km + DE = 1$ , this is possible since  $\gcd(n, E) = 1$ .

This is easy for us to do since we know the factors of  $n$ , so we can just compute  $m = (p-1)(q-1)$ .

Now we tell the numbers  $E, n$  to the whole world. Someone wants to tell ~~us~~ a secret number  $X$  with  $1 \leq X < n$ . They do it by computing

$$X^E \pmod{n} \text{ and sending us the result.}$$

We decode their message by computing

$$(X^E)^D = X^{DE} = X^{1+k\phi(n)}$$

$$= X \cdot (X^{\phi(n)})^k$$

$$= X \cdot 1 = X \pmod{n}, \text{ by Fermat's theorem.}$$

Even if somebody intercepts the message  $X^E$  in transit, they will not be able to figure ~~of~~ out the secret number  $x$  unless they also have  $D$ . Computing  $D$  required us to know  $m = (p-1)(q-1)$ , i.e. we need to know the two big prime factors of  $m$ .

Fact: Factoring large numbers is very, very hard.

Exercises: 1, 3, 5(a), (b), (e), (f); 8, 11, 13, 18.